```
1
 1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                         ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
 3
                                    : Criminal Action No.:
 4
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                     : 1:18-cr-123
 5
            V.
 6
                                     : October 20, 2022
    RONALD HERRERA CONTRERAS,
 7
                      Defendant.
 8
 9
                  TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING
             BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROSSIE D. ALSTON, JR.
10
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11
    APPEARANCES:
12
    FOR THE GOVERNMENT: ALEXANDER BLANCHARD, AUSA
                             CRISTINA STAM, AUSA
13
                             United States Attorney's Office
                             2100 Jamieson Avenue
14
                             Alexandria, VA 22314
15
    FOR THE DEFENDANT: LANA MANITTA, ESQ.
                             The Law Office of Lana Manitta, PLLC
16
                             140B Purcellville Gateway Drive
                             #511
17
                             Purcellville, VA 20132
18
                             JESSE WINOGRAD, ESQ.
                             The Law Office of Jesse Winograd
19
                             PLLC
                             5335 Wisconsin Ave., NW
20
                             Suite 440 Box 4035
                             Washington, DC 20015
21
22
    OFFICIAL U.S. COURT REPORTER:
                                     MS. TONIA M. HARRIS, RPR
                                     United States District Court
23
                                     401 Courthouse Square
                                     Tenth Floor
24
                                     Alexandria, VA 22314
25
```

```
-United States v. Ronald Contreras-
                                                                   2
 1
    (Proceedings commenced at 11:06 a.m.)
 2
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: Criminal No. 2018-123. United
 3
    States of America versus Ronald Herrera Contreras.
 4
              Counsel, please note your appearances for the
 5
    record.
 6
              MS. STAM: Good morning, Your Honor. Cristina Stam
 7
    and Alex Blanchard on behalf of the United States.
 8
              THE COURT: Good morning.
 9
              MS. MANITTA: Good morning. Lana Manitta and Jesse
    Winograd for Ronald Herrera Contreras, who is present.
10
11
              THE COURT: Good morning.
12
              Ms. Bellows, you can have a seat in the jury box.
13
              MS. BELLOWS: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: I was just going to go ahead and
14
15
    swear you in.
16
              THE COURT: Yes.
17
               (Interpreter sworn.)
              THE INTERPRETER: I do. Teresa Roman, federally
18
19
    certified Spanish interpreter.
20
              Good morning, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Good morning, ma'am.
22
              This matter comes on today for report and
23
    sentencing. Are there any corrections, deletions, or
24
    modifications to the presentence report in this matter?
25
              MS. STAM: Not from the government, Your Honor.
```

```
-United States v. Ronald Contreras-
                                                                   3
 1
              MS. MANITTA: Not from the defense.
 2
              THE COURT: The presentence report will be made a
 3
    part of the record in this matter.
              Is there any evidence from the government?
 4
 5
              MS. STAM: Your Honor, Ms. Karla Triminio, the
    mother of Sergio Triminio, and his aunt are present in the
 6
 7
    courtroom. Ms. Triminio had expressed an interest in perhaps
 8
    addressing the Court, but if I could check with her if she
 9
    would like to do that at this time.
10
               (Counsel confers.)
              THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. She does want to make a
11
12
    statement?
13
              MS. STAM: She does, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Pursuant to statute, victims are
15
    entitled to make a statement to the Court during the
16
    sentencing proceeding. The Court has previously authorized
    the court clerk to provide an oath to the person who wants to
17
    address the Court. As far as I know, the person's native
18
19
    language is Spanish, and we've provided a court certified
20
    interpreter to assist her in the presentation of her remarks.
21
               (Statements made through the Spanish interpreter.)
22
              MS. TRIMINIO: Good morning, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Good morning, ma'am.
24
              MS. TRIMINIO: I am Karla Triminio. I am the mother
25
    of Sergio. I am here today so that I can hear and see what
```

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-4 1 would be said, and what sentence will be imposed of the young 2 man who is accused. And as always, I come here to ask for 3 justice for my son, and to thank everyone who has worked on 4 this case. And I just come here to, again, ask for justice and so that the death of my son does not go unpunished, that 5 all the suffering I have gone through these years, and I 6 7 continue to go through, because of the absence of my son, the 8 change that it had on my life, all of this did. 9 Again, I ask for justice and this person to be 10 punished as he deserves. That is all, Your Honor. Thank you 11 very much. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. I am very sorry for 13 your loss. I have heard you both in written form and in 14 addressing the Court. You've expressed the loss that you feel 15 because of these circumstances. The Court will consider what 16 you have to say in an attempt to, as you say, achieve justice. 17 MS. TRIMINIO: Thank you. Thank you. Any additional evidence from 18 THE COURT: 19 the government? 20 MS. STAM: No, Your Honor, just argument. 21 THE COURT: Any evidence from the defendant? 22 MS. MANITTA: No, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Argument from the government? 24 MS. STAM: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 Your Honor, as I was reflecting about the

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-5 sentencing, it occurred to me that we may not be here today if 1 2 it weren't for the defendant, Ronald Herrera Contreras, or as he was known within the MS-13 gang, Speedy. And that's 3 4 because, as we learned during the eight-week trial we had earlier this year, it was the defendant who took Detective 5 Betts to the graves in Holmes Run Park, in early March 2017, 6 7 where law enforcement then found the remains belonging to 8 Edvin and Sergio, the two boys who were brutally murdered by 9 MS-13 in August and September of 2016. But as we know, also from the evidence adduced at 10 11 trial, the defendant did not lead Detective Betts to Holmes 12 Run Park because he wanted to take responsibility, or because 13 he wanted to assist law enforcement in solving the missing persons case that had been opened for Edvin and Sergio. He 14 15 wanted to help himself. He had been recently arrested in Fairfax County for raping a 15-year-old girl and he thought he 16 17 could get something in return if he gave law enforcement 18 something useful. 19 THE COURT: Isn't his desire to help himself, in 20 many ways, no different than other persons who testified in support of their desire to get a consideration under Rule 35? 21 22 MS. STAM: Certainly, Your Honor. I think that, you 23 know, those people also want to help themselves, but I think 24 that what's different here is that from the very beginning 25 this defendant never told the truth and he repeatedly, and

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-

consistently, minimized his own involvement. And he spun this web of lies where he was changing a story -- minimizing his role. But we know what his role was and that was that he, along with the PVLS members -- the PVLS clique, helped to plan, first, Edvin's murder, then he attacked and killed Edvin. He filmed the murder on his cell phone, and he even broke his legs with an ax so that his body would fit in the hole that had been dug.

And then with Sergio, it was the defendant who helped spread a rumor that Sergio was a police informant, which, of course, he was not. And he urged PVLS leaders to green light Sergio. He then was involved in helping to lure Sergio -- very actively involved in helping to lure Sergio to Holmes Run Park. He was the first person to grab Sergio from behind, from the neck -- by the neck, so that the others who were present could attack him. And before he buried Sergio, he tied up his body with pajama pants that he had been wearing, and he stole the shoes off of his feet. The shoes that his mother had just bought for him, but for his 14th birthday.

And, Your Honor, I think also the difference is that he, up to this date, has shown no remorse. He bragged about the murders in the aftermath, he took pictures on top of one of the graves flashing MS-13 signs. And even two years later, when he was arrested on federal charges for this case, he said

—United States v. Ronald Contreras-

1 some of the following things to Detective Betts. When asked

2 | if Edvin had fought back during the attack the defendant

3 | called Edvin "stupid" and he said, "he didn't even last

4 | two-minutes, that chicken." He then laughed as he described

how Edvin had been stabbed about 20 times in less than one

minute.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And when the agents asked him and confronted him about taking Sergio's shoes, the defendant admitted that he had taken them because, quote, It was a sin to bury that chicken, end quote, with those new shoes.

And so, Your Honor, those words are not of someone who made poor choices, or only made poor choices and put himself -- finds himself in this position, they're also the words of someone who has no respect for human life, has no respect for the law, and who, frankly, has nothing but darkness inside of them. And that's who the defendant has proven to be.

And so, even if a life sentence was not required by statute here, there's no other sentence that would be just.

The defendant, for his actions, deserves to spend the rest of his life in prison and his sentence should also be a warning to his gang that violence against young, vulnerable boys will not be tolerated.

And so, Your Honor, for that reason, we'd ask that the Court sentence the defendant to life for the -- Counts 3

```
-United States v. Ronald Contreras-
                                                                   8
 1
    to 8, and that the Court sentence him to the ten-year maximum
 2
    penalties for Counts 1 and 2, which are the five-part
 3
    conspiracy counts.
 4
              We ask that you also order that he be placed on
 5
    supervised release for five years should he ever be released
 6
    from the Bureau of Prisons custody. And as I mentioned, I
 7
    believe at our last sentencing, Your Honor, we're working
    closely with the family members, including Ms. Triminio, to
 8
    arrive at restitution. So we would ask for that same
    November 28, 2022 deadline to get all the restitution numbers
10
11
    and submit an order to the Court.
12
              THE COURT: Thank you.
              MS. STAM: Thank you.
13
14
              THE COURT: The Court is aware of everyone who is in
15
    the courtroom. Two other people came into the courtroom after
16
    the matter was started. I want to make sure that you're not
17
    potential witnesses in the case, either for the government or
18
    for Mr. Herrera Contreras.
19
              Sir, what is your name, sir? Right over here.
20
    with the glasses, what's your name, sir?
21
              SPEAKER: Jeremy.
22
              THE COURT: Jeremy what, sir?
23
              SPEAKER: Didios, D-i-d-i-o-s.
24
              THE COURT: Are you here as a witness for someone?
25
              SPEAKER: No.
```

```
-United States v. Ronald Contreras-
                                                                  9
 1
              THE COURT: Ma'am, what is your name?
 2
              SPEAKER: Danielle Delsi (ph).
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. Are you a witness for someone,
    ma'am?
 4
 5
              SPEAKER: No.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. Just making sure. Had an
 7
    opportunity for the government and both the defendant to
 8
    present witnesses and I saw that you all walked in late, so I
9
    want to make sure you weren't potential witnesses in the case.
10
              All right. Ms. Manitta.
11
              MS. MANITTA: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
              Mr. Herrera Contreras is a bit more complicated, I
13
    think, than the government has described. As we've seen over
14
    the last, I think, almost four years of this case that's been
15
    going on, he's a pretty conflicted young man. He's beholden
16
    to the gang. They have been family since age 11, but he's
17
    also willing to turn on them.
18
              THE COURT: He has been probably, out of all of the
19
    individuals that have been before the Court, the most
20
    troubling. And let me explain to you why I believe he is the
21
    most troubling. As counsel for the government pointed out,
22
    but for his assistance, they probably would not have been able
23
    to find the bodies. But for his involvement and other things,
24
    we would not have been aware of certain things that are going
25
    on. But for his representations as to him feeling for the
```

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-

victim before he was killed that's compelling.

But on the other hand, he's involved in all of the other things, as the fact-finder found, as far as taking the lives of these two young men, and it actually presents, as far as this Court is concerned, a dichotomy in what a person could be in something as serious as this. And, obviously, the jury found that he's responsible and the Court needs to do what it needs to do. But the bottom line is he presents quite the dilemma for the Court as to what kind of person he really is deep down inside, because in some respects it could be argued that the information that he provided was more helpful than the Rule 35 context than what other people did.

MS. MANITTA: And, Your Honor, you've taken almost all the words right out of my mouth. He is a dichotomy.

Mr. Winograd and I have struggled with that since the very beginning of representing him. I mean even on the night of Sergio's murder he warned him to run, and then he participated. So really there is a struggle going on within him. It has been going on since he's 11. The best I can say based on some of the work we have done with him pre-authorization with Michelle Quiroga, and having talked to a traumatologist that I was trying to enlist as part of the defense, is that a lot of this, sort of, two people in one is most likely a product of the brutality that he witnessed at a very young age. And what that does to any man's brain, but,

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-

1 especially, a young man with no guidance, no parents, he's

2 taken in by the gang, and, I mean, we're talking about finding

3 heads in the street, standing next to people who are getting

4 | shot. It's unbelievable -- unbelievably brutal what he saw

5 between the ages of 11 and 15. He came here very shortly

6 thereafter, and all of this happened shortly thereafter.

Were there available to us a mental-health-related defense, short of insanity, we were preparing to make it.

There is definitely a study that has been done with child soldiers over in Africa that tracks very similarly with what we see with these young men being recruited in Central America to gangs. The targeted age is 11 to 14. They're exposed to brutal violence very early and what that does to them is sort of break their brain so that when faced with situations of violence, they are unable to make the decision that a normal member of society would make. They are -- they cannot do it. They will not make the right decision. This is something that I wish we could have explored more with Ronald. It would not have been a defense in his case, but I absolutely think it would have been applicable. It's very, very sad.

A couple of things that we didn't mention, but are in the PSR, also is that his IQ with Dr. Quiroga was found to be a maximum of 70. And so we have an individual with such a traumatic history, a very low IQ, extensive cooperation. The agent -- I think I had asked if it was case breaking, and the

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-12 1 answer was, yes. So it is by far the most substantial 2 information that was given during this investigation. And 3 make no mistake, the others did not cooperate until they had a deal, and until they had a lawyer. So all of these interviews 4 that Ronald gave where he might have minimized and the story 5 6 evolved and changed, he didn't have an attorney at one of 7 those interviews or advising him before the interview. And a lot of them he reached out to an officer in the jail and said, 8 9 Hey, I'd like to have a meeting. And this was without 10 representation. And he did that without a deal. Nobody put 11 anything in front of him to say, Hey, you're going to get a 12 Rule 35 if you tell us. Until those others got that they 13 didn't say a word. And who knows if everything they said was 14 100 percent. 15 So this is really a very sad situation. He did not have a deal; he did not have an offer. And he'll spend the 16 17 rest of his life in prison. But we're hoping to make the 18 record and what we've submitted under seal, what we've said in 19 our paper, what we're saying here in court today, that, you 20 know, hopefully someday there will be some alternative. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. 22 Mr. Herrera Contreras, you may stand, sir. 23 Is there anything you want to say to the Court 24 before the Court imposes a sentence in this matter? 25 MS. MANITTA: Your Honor, because we will be noting

```
-United States v. Ronald Contreras-
                                                                  13
 1
    an appeal, he's not going to allocute.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Obviously, you're
 3
    entitled not to allocute.
              Did you want to say anything to Ms. Triminio?
 4
              THE DEFENDANT:
 5
                              No.
 6
              THE COURT: All right, sir. You may have a seat.
 7
              The combined adjusted offense level is 45 pursuant
 8
    to Chapter 5, Part A, comment number 2 of the guidelines, in
9
    those rare instances where the total offense level is
10
    calculated in excess of 43, the offense level will be treated
11
            Therefore, the defendant's total offense level is 43.
12
              The criminal history score is 1 and the criminal
13
    history category is Roman numeral I. Accordingly, the
14
    applicable quideline range is life in prison. Supervised
15
    release range is three to five years under the guidelines and
16
    the fine range is $50,000 to $250,000. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
17
    Section 3553(a), the Court should consider the following:
18
              The nature and circumstances of the offense and the
19
    history and characteristics of the defendant, the need for the
20
    sentence imposed to, among other things, reflect the
21
    seriousness of the offense and adequately deter criminal
22
    conduct, the kinds of sentences available, the guidelines,
23
    policy statements issued by the sentencing commission, the
24
    need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among
25
    defendants with similar records found quilty of similar
```

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-

conduct; and finally, the need to provide restitution to the victims. Ultimately, under the *Booker* standard, the sentence must be a standard of reasonableness.

With respect to the 3553(a) factors, the history and characteristics of the defendant, the Court has considered the defendant's specific circumstances, including his difficult childhood in El Salvador, and the resulting trauma he has experienced.

As to the nature and circumstances of the offense, suffice it to say that murder is a reprehensible crime. The tragic details, as heard by this Court and found by the jury, the defendant's participation in the murders of Edvin Mendez and Sergio Triminio attest to the severity of the defendant's crime.

The defendant, based upon what the jury found, callously chose to join a large group of gang members and associates to deceive two defenseless victims and proceeded to brutally murder them. What is more, the Court considers the fact that the defendant committed these heinous crimes all so that he could advance himself in the gang and earn a promotion. And although the defendant indeed led investigators to the location of the victims' bodies, his reason for doing so were at best self-serving. And even then he attempted to cover up his own involvement when questioned, his harmful offenses, and particularly in light of the manner

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-

hem

in which the defendant and his coconspirators carried them out, warrants a sentence of life imprisonment.

The Court next considers the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the crime, promote respect for the law and to provide just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence from criminal conduct, to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant, and to provide the defendant with needed educational, vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective matter.

Applying these factors to this case, the Court finds that a sentence of life imprisonment sufficiently promotes the seriousness -- reflects the seriousness of the offense and will promote both specific and general deterrence. As mentioned by both parties, the guideline range for such an offense is life imprisonment. A sentence of life imprisonment on Count 3 and 8 [sic] will not create unwarranted sentencing disparities in this case or others. And the sentence is also consistent with sentences imposed for other defendants who committed similar offenses, including his similarly situated codefendants who have been previously sentenced by the Court.

Accordingly, on Counts 1 and 2, conspiracy to commit kidnapping and murder, the Court imposes a term of 120 months on each count, which shall run concurrently.

On Counts 3 through 8, the Court imposes a term of

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-16 1 life imprisonment on each count, which shall also run 2 concurrently. In imposing a life sentence based on the 3 prevailing precedent, the Court does not find any 8th Amendment considerations. 4 The Court also imposes a period of supervised 5 6 release to provide an added measure of deterrence and 7 protection based upon the facts and circumstances of this particular case, consistent with the guidelines under Section 8 9 5D1.1. Should the defendant ever be released from 10 incarceration, he will serve a term of five years of 11 supervised release representing three years as to Count 1 and 12 2, and five years as to Count 3 through 8. All, again, to run 13 concurrently. 14 During the supervised period -- during this period 15 of supervision, the defendant must comply with the standard 16 conditions that have been adopted by this Court as well as the 17 following special conditions: 18 The defendant is to be surrendered to a duly 19 authorized immigration official of the Department of Homeland 20 Security for deportation review in accordance with the 21 established procedures provided by the Immigration Nationality 22 Act. As a further condition of supervised release, if ordered 23 deported, the defendant shall remain outside of the United States of America. 2.4 25 If the defendant tests positive for controlled Tonia M. Harris OCR-USDC/EDVA 703-646-1438-

-United States v. Ronald Contreras-17 1 substance or shows signs of alcohol abuse, the defendant shall 2 participate in a program approved by the United States 3 Probation Office for substance abuse, which the program may 4 include residential treatment and testing to determine whether the defendant has reverted to the use of drugs or alcohol with 5 partial costs to be paid by the defendant, all, again, as 6 7 directed by the probation officer. 8 The defendant shall not use marijuana or cannabis in 9 any form and shall not associate with any known or suspected 10 gang members. The defendant shall participate in a program of 11 mental health and counseling as directed by the probation 12 officer. 13 Recognizing the defendant is not capable of paying a fine, the Court declines to impose such a fine. The Court 14 15 will impose a special assessment of \$800. \$100 per felony 16 count pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 13 -- Section 3013. 17 The Court is going to request that the government postpone the determination of the victim's restitution amount 18 19 to November 28, 2022, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3664(d)(5). And 20 if the victim's losses are not ascertainable prior to 21 sentencing, the Court shall set a final date for determination 22 of victim's losses not to exceed 90 days after this date. 23 Ms. Manitta, I believe you've indicated that the 24 defendant is going to exercise his right of appeal. Will you 25 be representing him on that appeal?

```
-United States v. Ronald Contreras-
                                                                  18
 1
              MS. MANITTA: Actually, we were going to ask that
 2
    Mr. Winograd take the lead on that appeal. As the Court
 3
    knows, we had two and I'm going to take the lead on the other.
 4
    We will be working on it together, but this way he's the lead
 5
    on the one and I'm the lead on the other.
 6
              THE COURT: Mr. Herrera Contreras, Ms. Manitta is
 7
    well-respected by this Court and will advise you of the
 8
    substance of how you can take your matter up to the Fourth
 9
    Circuit of the United States Supreme Court. The Court will
    appoint Mr. Winograd to be your primary advocate responsible
10
11
    for advancing your appeal.
12
              Do you have any questions, sir?
13
              THE DEFENDANT: No.
14
              THE COURT: I'm sorry.
15
               (Counsel confers.)
16
              MS. MANITTA: Your Honor, there is one additional
17
    thing. We would request designation not in the DMV or
18
    Virginia area.
19
              THE COURT: Why don't we do this, why don't you get
20
    together with the United States Marshal Service and figure out
21
    with them the best place for your client to be placed, and
22
    then the Court will recommend that that placement take place.
23
              MS. MANITTA: Okay. That's fine.
24
              THE COURT: Mr. Herrera Contreras, what Ms. Manitta
25
    is trying to do is make sure that you're not put in danger or
```

```
-United States v. Ronald Contreras-
                                                                   19
 1
    compromised and is trying to arrange a facility that will not
 2
    put you in conflict with other people who may not have your
 3
    best interest at heart. We'll take a look in trying to find
    the best place for you, sir.
 4
 5
               I remand you to the custody of the United States
 6
    Marshals.
 7
               For the record, I want to thank Ms. Manitta and
    Mr. Winograd for their zealous representation on behalf of
 8
9
    Mr. Herrera Contreras and their professionalism during the
10
    entire course of the proceeding.
11
              MS. MANITTA: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
              MR. WINOGRAD: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
14
                 (Proceedings adjourned at 11:30 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Tonia Harris, an Official Court Reporter for the Eastern District of Virginia, do hereby certify that I reported by machine shorthand, in my official capacity, the proceedings had and testimony adduced upon the Sentencing hearing in the case of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA versus RONALD HERRERA CONTRERAS, Criminal Action No.: 1:18-cr-123, in said court on the 20th day of October, 2022. I further certify that the foregoing 20 pages constitute the official transcript of said proceedings, as taken from my machine shorthand notes, my computer realtime display, together with the backup tape recording of said proceedings to the best of my ability. In witness whereof, I have hereto subscribed my name, this April 19, 2023. Tonia M. Harris, Official Court Reporter